Cr.Revision No.112 of 2006.

12.11.2007. Present: Mr. Jagdish Vats, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritta Goswami, Advocate, for the respondent.

Even though the petitioner is not present, Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, the attorney of the respondent who is also his son is present in person. He as well as the respondent's counsel Mrs. Ritta Goswami submit and state before me that the petitioner and the respondent have compromised the matter whereby respondent has received the amount in question. He also submits that because of the compromise, the offence be compounded.

Since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the offence accordingly is compounded with the result that the impugned conviction against the petitioner is hereby set aside by allowing Criminal Revision No.112 of 2006.

In the ultimate analysis, therefore, Criminal Revision No.112 of 2006 is allowed. The conviction impugned is set aside with all the consequences.

The petition is disposed of.

Cr.MP No.429 of 2006.

In view of the disposal of the main petition, this application is disposed of. Interim order passed on 13th October, 2006 shall stand vacated.

12th November, 2007. (V.K. Gupta), C.J.
(tr)